IN THE

United States District Court for Maine

ANDREW U. D. STRAW,)	Case No.: 2:25-cv-00378-JAW-KFW
Plaintiff,)	
)	Hon. John A. Woodcock Jr.
v.)	Judge Presiding
)	
UNITED STATES & PHILIPPINES,)	Hon. <u>Karen Frink Wolf</u>
Defendants.)	Magistrate Judge Presiding

MOTION TO TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE OF AI EVALUATION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS LAWSUIT ON STRAW'S OTHER LITIGATION BEFORE THIS COURT, AND BEYOND

I, plaintiff ANDREW U. D. STRAW, make the following MOTION:

FACTS

- 1. The Magistrate Judge here has suggested that I am stateless in another case before this Court, thus preventing me from asserting diversity jurisdiction.

 Straw v. Avvo, Inc., 2:25-cv-00391-JAW-KFW (D.Me.). See:
- 2. https://www.courtlistener.com/docket/70915481/straw-v-avvo-inc/
- 3. But this just bolsters the reason for a just outcome in this case in my favor.
- 4. The reason is that if I am still in <u>a U.S. territory</u> because the Act I challenge is unconstitutional in creating a new nation when <u>Congress does not possess that</u> <u>power</u>, I can have diversity jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. Section 1332(e) because this is a U.S. territory and <u>I am not actually outside the United States</u> <u>as a matter of law</u>.

- 5. That shows not only that my Takings issue grants me standing but also that whether I am inside or outside the United States affects another case, strongly implying that I have multiple rights at issue across two different federal court cases before this Court.
- 6. I put the question of the importance of this constitutionality matter to Google's Gemini AI and it said that if I won this case and this law was found unconstitutional after my arguing this, it would *catapult me upwards* as a legal scholar and influence. It would directly impact how courts view me and the way I make new, novel arguments that have not been made before, but are in fact correct when I raise them. This would negate the idea that I am a frivolous filer also. That needs to be put to bed too. The issues I raise here is one of first impression. Congress has only attempted to do this, create a nation where there was none through legislation, one time, and I challenge it.
- 7. I hereby incorporate by reference the Gemini evaluation as **Exhibit 1**. WHEREFORE, I MOVE the Court to take judicial notice of **Exhibit 1**.

I, *plaintiff* Andrew U. D. Straw, verify that the above factual contentions and statements are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, on penalty of perjury. Signed: August 3, 2025.

Respectfully,

s/ ANDREW U. D. STRAW

MAILING ADDRESS:

9169 W State St #690

Garden City, ID. 83714

Telephone: (847) 807-5237

andrew@andrewstraw.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Andrew U. D. Straw, certify that I filed the above **MOTION FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE** and **1 EXHIBIT** with the Clerk of the U.S. District Court for Maine by email on **August 3**, 2025.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ ANDREW U. D. STRAW

MAILING ADDRESS:

9169 W State St #690

Garden City, ID. 83714

Telephone: (847) 807-5237

andrew@andrewstraw.com

EXHIBIT LIST

EXHIBIT 1: Gemini AI evaluation of the importance of the constitutional question raised in this case.